



RETRACTION

DETERMINATION OF EFFICIENCY IN THE DESIGN PHASE OF THE ENTERPRISE BY THE METHOD OF FINITE RELATIONS

Valerij Karpov¹, Artem Nemtsev¹, Toivo Kabanen², Andres Annuk²

¹*Saint-Petersburg State Agrarian University, Pushkin-1, Box No 1, 196600, St.-Petersburg, Russia*
²*Estonian University of Life Sciences, Institute of Technology, Chair of Energy Application Engineering, Fr. R. Kreutzwaldi 56, 51006 Tartu, Estonia*

<p>Saabunud: Received: Aktsepteeritud: Accepted:</p> <p>Avaldatud veebis: Published online:</p> <p>Vastutav autor: Corresponding author: E-mail: andres.annuk@emu.ee</p> <p>doi: 10.15159/jas.19.12</p>	<p>03.11.2019</p> <p>15.11.2019</p> <p>29.11.2019</p> <p>Andres Annuk</p>	<p>The manuscript "DETERMINATION OF EFFICIENCY IN THE DESIGN PHASE OF THE ENTERPRISE BY THE METHOD OF FINITE RELATIONS" by Valerij Karpov, Artem Nemtsev, Toivo Kabanen and Andres Annuk was submitted to Agraarteadus on 3 November 2019, accepted on 15 November 2019 and published on In Press on 29 November 2019. In December 2021, we received expressions of concern from the Academic Ethics Commission of the Estonian University of Life Sciences about similarities with the article entitled "Determination of efficiency in the design phase of the enterprise by the method of finite relations" ("Opredelenie jenergeticheskoy jeffektivnosti na jetape proektirovaniya predpriyatija metodom konechnyh otnosheniy") [In Russian] https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/opredelenie-energeticheskoy-effektivnosti-na-etape-proektirovaniya-predpriyatija-metodom-konechnyh-otnosheniy/pdf by Valerij Karpov and Artem Nemtsev submitted to Bulletin of MSTU, vol. 18, no. 4, 2015, pp. 709–718 (Vestnik MGTU, tom 18, # 4, 2015 g. str. 709–718). The expert of the Academic Ethics Commission of the Estonian University of Life Sciences analysed articles for similarities. The analysis revealed that the two articles reported the same experiments and the same results. Small divergences existed in the list of authors, in the Introduction, and the References. These divergences, however, are minor and were explained by the corresponding author. The corresponding author admitted that he was not aware of a previously published article. As a result, the article in Agraarteadus, accepted at a later date, is considered a duplicate publication. On this basis, we have decided to retract the article.</p>
---	---	---



